

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/601,245 07/31/2000 MANFRED GERBER ATM-2174 9769 7590 02/24/2004 **EXAMINER** VIRGIL H MARSH BRUENJES, CHRISTOPHER P FISHER CHRISTEN & SABOL 1725 K STREET NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1401** 1772 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
09/601,245	GERBER ET AL.	$\bigcap Y$
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher P Bruenjes	1772	
<u> </u>	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ .136(a) and the appropriate extends to the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: see continuation sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NO	OT place the
cause it is not directed SOLELY	/ to issues which we	ere newly
• • •	-	and an
:		
oroved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
	•	
	Examiner Christopher P Bruenjes  Pars on the cover sheet with the or sheet with all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time of the final rejection.  Wisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the sheet on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Ission and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in conths after the mailing date of the final rejection.  It is Brief must be filed within the petition and/or search below); in better form for appeal by mailing a corresponding number of cition(s):  If be allowable if submitted in a sheet cause it is not directed SOLELY of the sheet allowable is provided below.  Directored or b) disapproved by covered or by disapproved by disapproved by covered or by disapproved	Examiner Christopher P Bruenjes  ETHIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLCO avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reconsideration and/or search (see NOTE below); in better form for appeal by materially rejected claim a corresponding number of finally rejected claim better form for appeal by materially reducing or state on which the petition under 37 cFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate expendence of the final rejection, even if timely filed a statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or onths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed as Brief must be filed within the period set forth in a first 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  The second of the final rejection are consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); below); in better form for appeal by materially reducing or state of the final period set forth in the final period set for the final period

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/601,245

Art Unit: 1772

### ADVISORY ACTION

# Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendment

1. The amendment made in claims 15 and 17 through 22 in Applicant's amendment filed February 4, 2004 have not been entered due to the fact that they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The limitation added to claim 15 that the functional layer is non-adhesive, raises new issues because further consideration is required to determine if the prior art teaches that the functional layer of the laminate is non-adhesive as claimed.

### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

2. Applicant's arguments have not been considered because the arguments are directed towards claims that have not been entered, and are not within the scope of the original claims prior to the current amendment.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P Bruenjes whose telephone number is 571-272-1489.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,245

Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher P Bruenjes

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

Echanica 17 2004

February 17, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

UPERVISURY PATENT / 1/10